arXiv:1105.6330v1 [math.FA] 31 May 2011

BELLMAN FUNCTION AND LINEAR DIMENSION-FREE ESTIMATES IN A THEOREM OF D. BAKRY

ANDREA CARBONARO AND OLIVER DRAGIČEVIĆ

Abstract. By using an explicit Bellman function, we prove a bilinear embedding theorem for the Laplacian associated with a weighted Riemannian manifold (M, μ_φ) having the Bakry-Emery curvature bounded from below. The embedding, acting on the cartesian product of $L^p(M, \mu_\varphi)$ and $L^q(T^*M, \mu_\varphi)$, $1/p + 1/q = 1$, involves estimates which are independent of the dimension of the manifold and linear in p. As a consequence we obtain linear dimension-free estimates of the L^p norms of the corresponding shifted Riesz transform. All our proofs are analytic.

1. Introduction

Consider a complete Riemannian manifold (M, g, μ_0) with Riemannian metric g and Riemannian measure μ_0. Let d, ∇, Grad and Δ denote, respectively, the exterior and the covariant derivative, the gradient, and the nonnegative Laplace-Beltrami operator on M. Given $\varphi \in C^\infty(M)$, consider the weighted measure on M defined by

$$\mathrm{d}\mu_\varphi(x) = e^{-\varphi(x)}\,\mathrm{d}\mu_0(x),$$

and denote by $\mathcal{L}_\varphi$ the nonnegative weighted Laplacian defined on $C_c^\infty(M)$ by

$$\mathcal{L}_\varphi f = \Delta f + \mathrm{d}f(\mathrm{Grad}(\varphi)).$$

It was proved in [1, 14] that $\mathcal{L}_\varphi$ is essentially self-adjoint on $L^2(M, \mu_\varphi)$, and with an abuse of notation we still denote by $\mathcal{L}_\varphi$ its unique self-adjoint extension. The Bakry-Emery curvature tensor associated with $\mathcal{L}_\varphi$ is defined by

$$\mathrm{Ric}_\varphi = \mathrm{Ric} + \nabla^2\varphi,$$

where Ric denotes the Ricci curvature tensor on M. For every $a \in \mathbb{R}$, consider the shifted Riesz transform defined by

$$\mathcal{R}_a = \mathrm{d}(a^2\mathcal{I} + \mathcal{L}_\varphi)^{-1/2}.$$

The following celebrated result was first proven by D. Bakry [1].

Theorem 1. *Suppose that* $\mathrm{Ric}_\varphi \geqslant -a^2 g$. *Then, for every* p *in* $(1,\infty)$, *there is* $C(p) > 0$ *such that*

$$\|\mathcal{R}_a f\|_{L^p(T^*M,\mu_\varphi)} \leqslant C(p)\|f\|_{L^p(M,\mu_\varphi)},$$

for all $f \in \overline{\mathrm{R}(a^2\mathcal{I} + \mathcal{L}_\varphi) \cap L^p(M,\mu_\varphi)}^{L^p}$.

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 53C21, 58J40, 58J05.

Key words and phrases. Bakry-Emery Ricci curvature, Riesz transforms, Bellman functions.

This result has been recently improved by Li in [9, Theorem 1.4], where the author obtained an explicit upper estimate, namely, $C(p) = 2(p^* - 1)(1 + 4\|\tau\|_p)$. Here $p^* = \max\{p, q\}$, $1/p+1/q = 1$, and τ is the exit time of the standard 3-dimensional Brownian motion from the unit ball in $\mathbb{R}^3$. One can determine the asymptotic behaviour of $\|\tau\|_p$ by means of the distribution function of τ, which has been calculated by Ciesielski and Taylor [4]. As a result one quickly computes that $\|\tau\|_p \sim p$ as $p \to \infty$. Thus the estimate in [9] is quadratic in p for $a \geqslant 0$, except in the case $a = 0$, when the author showed that it suffices to take $C(p) = 2(p^*-1)$. Apparently, a further improvement was made by the same author in [10, Theorems 1.5, 1.6] by demonstrating that, if $a > 0$, one can take $C(p) = 2(p^* - 1)^{3/2}$.

The same papers by Li [9, 10] also contain a thorough review of numerous earlier results about Riesz transforms on various classes of Riemannian manifolds, as well as several applications that further motivate the pursuit of the dimension-free boundedness of Riesz transforms in such generality.

The proofs in [1, 9, 10] are probabilistic, and in [9, p. 269] the author specifically raises the question of finding an analytic proof of Theorem 1. The main objective of this paper is to give a short analytic proof of Bakry's result with explicit linear estimates in p (see Corollary 4). We accomplish this by employing the technique of Bellman functions. It was brought into harmonic analysis by Nazarov, Treil and Volberg in the 1994 preprint version of their paper [12]. Here we will follow the scheme laid out in papers [5, 6, 7]. Accordingly, the result in question will be a corollary of the so-called bilinear embedding theorem for the weighted Laplacian $\mathcal{L}_\varphi$ on M (see Theorem 3). We are able to make the passage from the embedding theorem to the Riesz transforms without using spectral multipliers. This is in contrast with [5] and [6], although one of the results there (dimension-free estimates of Riesz transforms associated with the Ornstein-Uhlenbeck operator, see [5]) is a particular case of Corollary 4. In this light our method can be viewed as an improvement over [5].

As remarked above, unlike in Li [9, 10] our proofs are purely analytic. Moreover, the estimate we obtain in Corollary 4 is linear in p and thus better than those obtained by Li in [9, 10], except when $a = 0$. In the latter case both estimates are linear, yet the one due to Li exhibits a smaller numerical constant. A better numerical constant in our theorem could be obtained by using a "sharper" Bellman function. Such a function does exist, see [5] and [15], but since it is not explicit, it seems much more difficult to work with. The advantage of the Bellman function we utilise in this paper (and which originated in the work of Nazarov and Treil [11]) is its simplicity and the fact that it admits satisfactory estimates of its partial derivatives (see Theorem 7).

The same Nazarov-Treil Bellman function was recently used by A. Volberg and the second author [6, 7] in order to obtain similar results for (generalised) Schrödinger operators with nonnegative potentials, again yielding dimension-free estimates with sharp (linear) estimates in p involving explicit constants.

Since the introduction of the Bellman function method in harmonic analysis by Nazarov, Treil and Volberg in mid-90's, there has been a whole series of (sharp) inequalities treated with great success by this method. Yet to the best of our knowledge this paper is the very first case of applying Bellman functions on general manifolds rather than on Euclidean spaces. As such it may open a path for a wide range of similar applications in the future. For example, we are currently studying L^p spectral multipliers on weighted Riemannian manifolds by using Bellman functions techniques. This will be contained in a forthcoming paper.

2. Preliminaries

For each x in M, we denote the tangent and the cotangent space at x respectively by T_xM and T_x^*M. For every $j,k\in\mathbb{N}$, we set

$$T_x^{j,k}M=\underbrace{T_xM\otimes\cdots\otimes T_xM}_{j\ times}\otimes\underbrace{T_x^*M\otimes\cdots\otimes T_x^*M}_{k\ times},$$

and we denote by $T^{j,k}M$ the fiber bundle over M whose fibre at x is $T_x^{j,k}M$. A tensor of type (j,k) is just a section of $T^{j,k}M$. We denote the space of smooth tensors of type (j,k) by $C^\infty(T^{j,k}M)$ and identify functions on M with tensors of type $(0,0)$. For each $k=0,\dots,\dim M$, let $\wedge^kT^*M$ denote the bundle of *alternating tensors* of type $(0,k)$, also referred to as k-forms. Recall that for every $j,k\in\mathbb{N}$ and $x\in M$ the Riemannian scalar product on T_xM induces a scalar product $\langle\cdot,\cdot\rangle_{T_x^{j,k}M}$ on $T_x^{j,k}M$; this clearly induces a scalar product on $\wedge^kT_x^*M$, for all $k=0,\dots,\dim M$. We set $|\cdot|^2_{T_x^{j,k}M}=\langle\cdot,\cdot\rangle_{T_x^{j,k}M}$. For each $p\in[1,\infty]$ and $j,k\in\mathbb{N}$, let $L^p(T^{j,k}M,\mu_\varphi)$ be the Banach space of all measurable tensors u of type (j,k) with

$$\|u\|_{L^p(T^{j,k}M,\mu_\varphi)}=\begin{cases}\left(\int_M|u(x)|^p_{T_x^{j,k}M}\;\mathrm{d}\mu_\varphi(x)\right)^{\frac{1}{p}}<\infty, & \text{if}\quad p\in[1,\infty);\\ \text{ess sup}_{x\in M}|u(x)|_{T_x^{j,k}M}<\infty, & \text{if}\quad p=\infty.\end{cases}$$

When there will be no ambiguity, we shall denote $|\cdot|_{T_x^{j,k}M}$ simply by $|\cdot|$, and $L^p(T^{j,k}M,\mu_\varphi)$ by $L^p(\mu_\varphi)$. If A is an operator on $L^2(\mu_\varphi)$, we denote respectively by $\mathrm{R}(A)$ and $\mathrm{N}(A)$ its range and null-space.

Furthermore, let

$$\mathrm{d}:C^\infty(\wedge^kT^*M)\to C^\infty(\wedge^{k+1}T^*M)\quad\text{and}\quad\nabla:C^\infty(T^{j,k}M)\to C^\infty(T^{j,k+1}M)$$

be the exterior and the total covariant derivative, respectively, and d_φ^* and ∇_φ^* their adjoints on $L^2(\mu_\varphi)$. Recall that on functions d and ∇ coincide with the differential, $\mathrm{d}^2=0$, and, for every $u\in T^{j,k}M$, $\eta_i\in C^\infty(T^*M)$ and $X,Y_j\in C^\infty(TM)$, $\nabla u(\eta_1,\dots,\eta_r,X,Y_1,\dots,Y_s)=\nabla_Xu(\eta_1,\dots,\eta_r,Y_1,\dots,Y_s)$, where $\nabla_Xu\in T^{j,k}M$ denotes the covariant derivative of u with respect to X. Given a system of local coordinates $(x^1,\dots,x^n)$, we set $\nabla_i=\nabla_{\partial_{x^i}}$, $i=1,\dots,n$.

An easy computation gives

$$\mathrm{d}_\varphi^*=\mathrm{d}_0^*+i_{\mathrm{Grad}(\varphi)},$$

where $i_{\mathrm{Grad}(\varphi)}$ denotes the inner multiplication by $\mathrm{Grad}(\varphi)$ on $\wedge^{k+1}T^*M$. The (nonnegative) weighted Hodge-De Rham Laplacian acting on k-forms is defined by

$$\Box_{k,\varphi}=\mathrm{d}\,\mathrm{d}_\varphi^*+\mathrm{d}_\varphi^*\,\mathrm{d}.$$

It is well-known that $\Box_{k,\varphi}$, initially defined on smooth k-forms with compact support, is essentially selfadjoint on $L^2(\wedge^kT^*M,\mu_\varphi)$ (see [14]). Note that $\Box_{0,\varphi}=\mathcal{L}_\varphi$, and by the Bochner-Weitzenböck formula we have

$$\Box_{1,\varphi}\omega=\nabla_0^*\nabla\omega+\nabla_{\mathrm{Grad}(\varphi)}\omega+\mathrm{Ric}_\varphi(\cdot,\sharp\omega),$$

where $\sharp:T_x^*M\to T_xM$ is the duality defined by $\omega(X)=\langle\sharp\omega,X\rangle_{TM}$ for all $\omega\in T_x^*M$ and $X\in T_xM$ [13, 3].

We set $\vec{\mathcal{L}_\varphi} = \Box_{1,\varphi}$, $P_t^a = \exp(-t(a^2\mathcal{I}+\mathcal{L}_\varphi)^{1/2})$ and $\vec{P_t^a} = \exp(-t(a^2\mathcal{I}+\vec{\mathcal{L}_\varphi})^{1/2})$. Note that $L^2(M,\mu_\varphi) = \overline{\mathrm{R}(a^2\mathcal{I}+\mathcal{L}_\varphi)} \oplus \mathrm{N}(a^2\mathcal{I}+\mathcal{L}_\varphi)$ where the sum is orthogonal. The Riesz transform $\mathcal{R}_a$ initially defined on $\mathrm{R}(a^2\mathcal{I}+\mathcal{L}_\varphi)$ extends to an isometry

$$\mathcal{R}_a : \overline{\mathrm{R}(a^2\mathcal{I}+\mathcal{L}_\varphi)} \longrightarrow L^2(T^*M).$$

Note that if $a>0$ then $\overline{\mathrm{R}(a^2\mathcal{I}+\mathcal{L}_\varphi)} = L^2(M,\mu_\varphi)$. Moreover, $N(\mathcal{L}_\varphi) \neq \{0\}$ if and only if $\mu_\varphi(M) < \infty$; in this case $N(\mathcal{L}_\varphi) = \{\text{constant functions on } M\}$. When $a>0$, $\mathcal{R}_a$ is often called *local Riesz transform.*

Lemma 2. *For every $f \in C_c^\infty(M)$, $\omega \in C_c^\infty(T^*M)$, $r \geqslant 1$ and $a \geqslant 0$,*

(a) $\mathrm{d}\mathcal{L}_\varphi f = \vec{\mathcal{L}_\varphi}\,\mathrm{d}f$ *and* $\mathrm{d}_\varphi^*\vec{\mathcal{L}_\varphi}\omega = \mathcal{L}_\varphi\,\mathrm{d}_\varphi^*\omega$.
(b) $\mathrm{d}P_t^a f = \vec{P_t^a}\,\mathrm{d}f$ *and* $\mathrm{d}_\varphi^*\vec{P_t^a}\omega = P_t^a\,\mathrm{d}_\varphi^*\omega$.
(c) $|P_t^a f(x)|^r \leqslant P_t^a|f|^r(x)$.
If also $\mathrm{Ric}_\varphi \geqslant -a^2 g$, *then*
(d) $|e^{-t\vec{\mathcal{L}_\varphi}}\omega(x)|_{T_x^*M} \leqslant e^{ta^2}e^{-t\mathcal{L}_\varphi}|\omega(x)|_{T_x^*M}$.
(e) $|\vec{P_t^a}\omega(x)|_{T_x^*M}^r \leqslant P_t^0|\omega|_{T_x^*M}^r(x)$.

Proof. The first three items in the lemma have been proved in [1, Prop. 1.7]. Since $\mathcal{L}_\varphi$ generates a Markovian semigroup on (M,μ_φ) [2], we quickly get

$$|e^{-t(a^2\mathcal{I}+\mathcal{L}_\varphi)}f(x)|^r \leqslant e^{-t(a^2\mathcal{I}+\mathcal{L}_\varphi)}|f|^r(x)\,. \tag{1}$$

Set $dm(s) = (\pi s)^{-1/2}e^{-s}\,ds$. One readily see that

$$P_t^a = \int_0^\infty e^{-\frac{t^2}{4s}(a^2\mathcal{I}+\mathcal{L}_\varphi)}dm(s)\,. \tag{2}$$

Hence (1) also holds with P_t^a in place of $e^{-t(a^2\mathcal{I}+\mathcal{L}_\varphi)}$, and (c) is proved. Similarly, (e) follows from a combination of the item (d) and the subordination formula (2). □

3. Bilinear embedding theorem and Riesz transforms

We now state the bilinear embedding theorem which is the principal result of the paper. The proof will be given in Section 5. Denote by $\overline{\nabla}$ the total covariant derivative on $M\times\mathbb{R}_+$. Then, for every $\eta \in C^\infty(T^{j,k}(M\times\mathbb{R}_+))$, $|\overline{\nabla}\eta| = \sqrt{|\nabla\eta|^2+|\nabla_t\eta|^2}$.

Theorem 3. *Suppose that M is a complete Riemannian manifold with* $\mathrm{Ric}_\varphi \geqslant -a^2g$. *Then for all p in $(1,\infty)$, $f\in C_c^\infty(M)$ and $\omega \in C_c^\infty(T^*M)$,*

$$\int_0^\infty\int_M |\overline{\nabla}P_t^a f(x)||\overline{\nabla}\vec{P_t^a}\omega(x)|\,t\,\mathrm{d}\mu_\varphi(x)\,\mathrm{d}t \leqslant 3(p^*-1)\|f\|_{L^p(M,\mu_\varphi)}\|\omega\|_{L^q(T^*M,\mu_\varphi)}\,.$$

The bilinear embedding theorem implies a dimension free estimate for the L^p norms of the Riesz transform.

Corollary 4. *Under the above conditions,*

$$\|\mathcal{R}_a f\|_{L^p(T^*M,\mu_\varphi)} \leqslant 12(p^*-1)\|f\|_{L^p(M,\mu_\varphi)},$$

for all $f \in \overline{\mathrm{R}(a^2\mathcal{I}+\mathcal{L}_\varphi)\cap L^p(M,\mu_\varphi)}^{L^p}$.

Proof. We claim that for every $f \in C_c^\infty(M) \cap \mathrm{R}(a^2\mathcal{I} + \mathcal{L}_\varphi)$ and $\omega \in C_c^\infty(T^*M)$ we have that

$$\int_M \langle \mathcal{R}_a f(x), \omega(x)\rangle \,\mathrm{d}\mu_\varphi(x) = 4\int_0^\infty \int_M \Big\langle \mathrm{d}P_t^a f(x), \frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}} \vec{P}_t^a \omega(x)\Big\rangle \,\mathrm{d}\mu_\varphi(x)\, t\,\mathrm{d}t\,. \tag{3}$$

Assuming the claim (3), Corollary 4 follows immediately from Theorem 3 and the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality. To prove the claim (3), consider the function

$$\varphi(t) = \langle \vec{P}_t^a \mathcal{R}_a f, \vec{P}_t^a \omega\rangle_{L^2(\mu_\varphi)}\,.$$

Since $\langle \mathcal{R}_a f, \omega\rangle_{L^2(\mu_\varphi)} = \varphi(0)$, it suffices to show that

$$\varphi(0) = \int_0^\infty \varphi''(t)\, t\,\mathrm{d}t = 4\int_0^\infty \Big\langle \mathrm{d}P_t^a f, \frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}t}\vec{P}_t^a \omega\Big\rangle_{L^2(\mu_\varphi)} t\,\mathrm{d}t\,. \tag{4}$$

In order to prove the first equality it is enough to show that both $\varphi(t)$ and $t\varphi'(t)$ tend to zero as $t \to \infty$. First note that, by Lemma 2, $\vec{P}_t^a \mathcal{R}_a f = \mathcal{R}_a P_t^a f$. Therefore, by the L^2 contractivity of both $\mathcal{R}_a$ and $\vec{P}_t^a$, $|\varphi(t)| \leqslant \|P_t^a f\|_{L^2(\mu_\varphi)} \|\omega\|_{L^2(\mu_\varphi)}$. Since $f \in \mathrm{R}(a^2\mathcal{I} + \mathcal{L}_\varphi)$, the spectral theorem gives that $P_t^a f \to 0$ in $L^2(\mu_\varphi)$ as $t \to \infty$.

Similarly, Lemma 2 gives

$$\varphi'(t) = 2\langle (a^2\mathcal{I} + \vec{\mathcal{L}_\varphi})\vec{P}_t^a\, \mathrm{d}(a^2\mathcal{I} + \mathcal{L}_\varphi)^{-1/2} f, \vec{P}_t^a \omega\rangle_{L^2(\mu_\varphi)} = 2\langle P_t^a f, P_t^a\, \mathrm{d}^*\omega\rangle_{L^2(\mu_\varphi)}\,,$$

therefore $\lim_{t\to\infty} t|\varphi'(t)| = 0$ as before. The second equality in (4) can be verified by a straightforward calculation, again with the help of Lemma 2. □

4. Bellman function

As announced above, the main tool in the proof of the bilinear embedding Theorem 3 will be a particular Bellman function. Throughout this section we assume that $p \geqslant 2$, $q = p/(p-1)$ and $\delta = q(q-1)/8$ are fixed. Observe that $\delta \sim (p-1)^{-1}$.

Fix $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and define the Bellman function $Q : \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^n \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$ by setting

$$Q(\zeta, \eta) = \frac{1}{2}\beta(|\zeta|, |\eta|)\,,$$

where

$$\beta(u, v) = u^p + v^q + \delta \begin{cases} u^2 v^{2-q} & ;\ u^p \leqslant v^q \\ \dfrac{2}{p}\, u^p + \left(\dfrac{2}{q} - 1\right) v^q & ;\ u^p \geqslant v^q \end{cases}$$

for any $u, v \geqslant 0$. For every $(\zeta, \eta) \in \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^n$ set $U(\zeta, \eta) = (|\zeta|, |\eta|)$. The function Q belongs to $C^1(\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^n)$, and it is of order C^2 everywhere *except* on the set $U^{-1}(\Upsilon_0)$, where

$$\Upsilon_0 = \{(u, v) \in \mathbb{R}_+ \times \mathbb{R}_+\,; (v = 0) \vee (u^p = v^q)\}\,.$$

Remark 5. The origins of this function lie in the paper of Nazarov and Treil [11]. A modification of their function was later applied in [5, 6]. Here we use a simplified variant which comprises only two variables. It was introduced in [7]. The function Q above is the same as in [7], except that it differs by a sign; thus it is nonnegative while the function in [7] was nonpositive.

Remark 6. In contrast to [5, 6, 7], to keep our notation reasonable and to gain some transparency and simplicity in the proofs, we use a Bellman function involving only real variables. This allows us to prove Theorem 3 and Corollary 4 just for real-valued functions and differential forms; the corresponding estimates for complex-valued functions and differential forms easily follow by estimating separately the real and imaginary part. Note that the argument above gives an appropriately bigger constant. In order to preserve the same constants one could readily instead use a "complex" Bellman function as in [5, 6, 7] and prove Theorem 3 and Corollary 4 for complex-valued functions and differential forms.

Throughout the rest of the paper we shall use the following notation: if $m \in \mathbb{N}$, $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^m$ is open, $\Phi \in C^\infty(\Omega)$, $\omega \in \Omega$ and $x \in \mathbb{R}^m$, then we set

$$H_\Phi(\omega; x) = \langle \mathrm{Hess}(\Phi)_\omega x, x\rangle_{\mathbb{R}^m}\,,$$

where $\mathrm{Hess}(\Phi)_\omega$ is the Hessian matrix of Φ at ω, i.e. $[\partial_{x_i x_j}\Phi(\omega)]_{i,j=1}^m$.

The following result, essentially proved in [6], summarizes the properties of Q.

Theorem 7. *For every* $(u,v) \in \mathbb{R}_+ \times \mathbb{R}_+$

(i) $0 \leqslant \beta(u,v) \leqslant (1+\delta)(u^p + v^q)$.

If $\xi = (\zeta, \eta) \in (\mathbb{R}\times\mathbb{R}^n)\backslash U^{-1}(\Upsilon_0)$*, then there exists* $\tau = \tau(|\zeta|, |\eta|) > 0$ *such that*

(ii) $H_Q(\xi; w) \geqslant \delta\big(\tau|w_1|^2 + \tau^{-1}|w_2|^2\big)$, *for all* $w = (w_1, w_2) \in \mathbb{R}\times\mathbb{R}^n$.

Moreover, there is a certain absolute $C = C(p) > 0$ *such that for every* $u, v > 0$,

(iii) $0 \leqslant \partial_u\beta(u,v) \leqslant C\max\{u^{p-1}, v\}$ *and* $0 \leqslant \partial_v\beta(u,v) \leqslant Cv^{q-1}$.

As noted earlier, while Q is of class C^1, it is not globally C^2. One can fix this in a standard fashion by taking convolutions with mollifiers. More precisely, denote by B^{n+1} the open unit ball in $\mathbb{R}^{n+1}$ and define

$$\psi(x) = c_{n+1} e^{-\frac{1}{1-|x|^2}}\chi_{B^{n+1}}(x)\,,$$

where c_{n+1} is chosen so that the integral of ψ over $\mathbb{R}^{n+1}$ is equal to one. For any $\kappa > 0$ and $x \in \mathbb{R}^{n+1}$ set

$$\psi_\kappa(x) = \frac{1}{\kappa^{n+1}}\,\psi\Big(\frac{x}{\kappa}\Big).$$

The (regular) Bellman function Q_κ is defined on $\mathbb{R}\times\mathbb{R}^n$ by

$$Q_\kappa = \psi_\kappa * Q, \tag{5}$$

where $*$ denotes the convolution in $\mathbb{R}^{n+1}$. Since both Q and ψ_κ are biradial, there exists $\beta_\kappa : \mathbb{R}_+\times\mathbb{R}_+ \to \mathbb{R}_+$ such that

$$Q_\kappa(\zeta, \eta) = \frac{1}{2}\beta_\kappa(|\zeta|, |\eta|)\,,$$

for all $(\zeta, \eta) \in \mathbb{R}\times\mathbb{R}^n$.

Theorem 8. *Let* $\kappa \in (0,1)$*. Then* $Q_\kappa \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^{n+1})$ *and, for any* $(u,v) \in \mathbb{R}_+\times\mathbb{R}_+$,

(i') $0 \leqslant \beta_\kappa(u,v) \leqslant (1+\delta)\big[(u+\kappa)^p + (v+\kappa)^q\big]$.

For any $\xi = (\zeta,\eta) \in \mathbb{R}\times\mathbb{R}^n$*, there exists* $\tau_\kappa = \tau_\kappa(|\zeta|, |\eta|) > 0$ *such that*

(ii') $H_{Q_\kappa}(\xi; w) \geqslant \delta\big(\tau_\kappa|w_1|^2 + \tau_\kappa^{-1}|w_2|^2\big)$, *for all* $w = (w_1, w_2) \in \mathbb{R}\times\mathbb{R}^n$.

Moreover, there is a certain absolute $C = C(p) > 0$ *such that for every* $u, v > 0$,

(iii') $0 \leqslant \partial_u\beta_\kappa(u,v) \leqslant C\max\{(u+\kappa)^{p-1}, v+\kappa\}$ *and* $0 \leqslant \partial_v\beta_\kappa(u,v) \leqslant C(v+\kappa)^{q-1}$.

Proof. Properties (i') and (iii') easily follow from definition of β_κ and the corresponding properties (i) and (iii) of β in Theorem 7.

We now prove (ii'). First notice that the second-order distributional derivatives of Q exist and coincide almost everywhere with the usual ones. This is the case because Q belongs to $C^1(\mathbb{R}\times\mathbb{R}^n)$, its second-order partial derivatives exist in $\mathbb{R}^{n+1}\setminus U^{-1}(\Upsilon_0)$, and are locally integrable in $\mathbb{R}^{n+1}$. Consequently, if we set $\xi=(\zeta,\eta)\in\mathbb{R}\times\mathbb{R}^n$ and $w=(w_1,w_2)\in\mathbb{R}\times\mathbb{R}^n$, then we have

$$H_{Q_\kappa}(\xi;w)=\int H_Q(\xi-y;w)\,\psi_\kappa(y)\,dy\,.$$

By Theorem 7 (ii), the first factor inside the integral is almost everywhere bounded from below by $\delta\big(\tau|w_1|^2+\tau^{-1}|w_2|^2\big)$, where τ is a function of $\xi-y$. Consequently,

$$H_{Q_\kappa}(\xi;w)\geqslant\delta\big((\tau*\psi_\kappa)(\xi)|w_1|^2+(\tau^{-1}*\psi_\kappa)(\xi)|w_2|^2\big)\,.$$

Notice that Hölder's inequality gives

$$(\tau*\psi_\kappa)(\xi)(\tau^{-1}*\psi_\kappa)(\xi)\geqslant\left[\int\sqrt{\tau(y)\psi_\kappa(\xi-y)}\,\sqrt{\tau^{-1}(y)\psi_\kappa(\xi-y)}\,dy\right]^2=1\,.$$

It follows that

$$H_{Q_\kappa}(\xi;w)\geqslant\delta\big(\tau_\kappa|w_1|^2+\tau_\kappa^{-1}|w_2|^2\big)\,,$$

where $\tau_\kappa=\tau*\psi_\kappa$. □

The fact that the Q_κ's are radial functions allows us to define Bellman functions on manifolds. Let $n=\dim M$. For every $\kappa>0$, the regular Bellman function

$$\widetilde{Q}_\kappa:\mathbb{R}\times T^*M\to\mathbb{R}_+$$

is defined on each fiber by

$$\widetilde{Q}_\kappa(\zeta,\eta)=\frac{1}{2}\beta_\kappa(|\zeta|,|\eta|_{T^*_xM}),\quad(\zeta,\eta)\in\mathbb{R}\times T^*_xM.$$

Given $x\in M$, fix exponential local coordinates $e^1,\dots,e^n$ centered at x and identify T^*_xM with $\mathbb{R}^n$ by using the standard map $S_x:T^*_xM\to\mathbb{R}^n$ defined by

$$S_x(\eta)=(\eta_1,\dots,\eta_n),\quad\eta=\eta_1\,\mathrm{d}e^1_x+\ldots+\eta_n\,\mathrm{d}e^n_x\,.$$

Then we have $|S_x(\eta)|_{\mathbb{R}^n}=|\eta|_{T^*_xM}$; so that

$$\widetilde{Q}_\kappa(\zeta,\eta)=Q_\kappa(\zeta,S_x(\eta))\,,$$

for all $(\zeta,\eta)\in\mathbb{R}\times T^*_xM$.

We conclude this section with a technical result that will be used in the proof of Theorem 3. Consider the operators

$$\mathcal{L}'_\varphi=\mathcal{L}_\varphi-\frac{\partial^2}{\partial t^2}\qquad\text{and}\qquad\vec{\mathcal{L}'}_\varphi=\vec{\mathcal{L}_\varphi}-\frac{\partial^2}{\partial t^2},$$

and denote by E the fiber bundle over $M\times\mathbb{R}_+$ whose fiber at (x,t) is T^*_xM.

Lemma 9. *Let $\zeta\in C^\infty(M\times\mathbb{R}_+)$, $\eta\in C^\infty(E)$, and set $v(x,t)=(\zeta(x,t),\eta(x,t))$. Then, for every $(x,t)\in M\times\mathbb{R}_+$, in exponential local coordinates centered at x we have*

$$-\mathcal{L}'_\varphi\widetilde{Q}_\kappa(v)=\sum_{i=1}^{n+1}H_{Q_\kappa}(\tilde{S}_x(v);\tilde{S}_x(\nabla_iv))-\frac{\partial_u\beta_\kappa(|\zeta|,|\eta|)}{2|\zeta|}\zeta\mathcal{L}'_\varphi\zeta$$
$$+\frac{\partial_v\beta_\kappa(|\zeta|,|\eta|)}{2|\eta|}\Big(\mathrm{Ric}_\varphi(\sharp\eta,\sharp\eta)-\langle\vec{\mathcal{L}'_\varphi}\eta,\eta\rangle\Big)\,,$$

where $\nabla_{n+1} = \nabla_t$, $\zeta = \zeta(x,t)$, $\eta = \eta(x,t)$ *and* $\tilde{S}_x$ *is defined by* $\tilde{S}_x(\zeta,\eta) = (\zeta, S_x(\eta))$.

Proof. The lemma follows (by direct computation in exponential local coordinates) from the very definition of $\widetilde{Q}_\kappa$ and the Bochner formula [1, eq. (0.3)] which says that

$$-\frac{1}{2}\mathcal{L}_\varphi(|\omega|^2) = |\nabla\omega|^2 - \langle \vec{\mathcal{L}_\varphi}\omega, \omega\rangle + \mathrm{Ric}_\varphi(\sharp\omega, \sharp\omega)$$

for all $\omega \in C^\infty(T^*M)$. □

5. Proof of Theorem 3

We first prove the theorem for $p \geqslant 2$. Let $f \in C_c^\infty(M)$ and $\omega \in C_c^\infty(T^*M)$. In view of Remark 6 we can assume f, ω to be real-valued. Fix $o \in M$ and $\varepsilon > 0$. For every $s, l > 0$, define $K_{s,l} = \overline{B(o,2l)} \times [1/s, s]$ and

$$\kappa_{s,l} = \varepsilon \inf_{(x,t)\in K_{s,l}} \min\{P_t^a|f|(x)\ ,\ P_t^0|\omega|(x)\}. \tag{6}$$

Since P_t^a is an integral operator with positive kernel, and $(x,t) \mapsto P_t^a u(x)$ is continuous for all nice u, it follows that $\kappa_{s,l} > 0$. Next define the function $b_{s,l}$ by setting

$$b_{s,l}(x,t) = \widetilde{Q}_{\kappa_{s,l}}(P_t^a f(x), \vec{P}_t^a\omega(x)),$$

for all $(x,t) \in M \times \mathbb{R}_+$.

Similar to the Euclidean case [6] the bulk of the proof of Theorem 3 will consist of estimating an integral involving $\mathcal{L}'_\varphi b_{s,l}$ from below and above. This will be the content of Propositions 10 and 12, respectively.

Proposition 10. *Suppose that* $\mathrm{Ric}_\varphi \geqslant -a^2 g$. *Then, for all* $(x,t) \in M \times \mathbb{R}_+$,

$$-\mathcal{L}'_\varphi b_{s,l}(x,t) \geqslant 2\delta|\overline{\nabla} P_t^a f(x)||\overline{\nabla}\vec{P}_t^a\omega(x)|\,.$$

Proof. We apply Lemma 9 with $\zeta = P_t^a f$, $\eta = \vec{P}_t^a\omega$, $v(x,t) = (P_t^a f(x), \vec{P}_t^a\omega(x))$ and $\kappa = \kappa_{s,l}$. Since $\mathcal{L}'_\varphi P_t^a f = -a^2 P_t f$, $\vec{\mathcal{L}}'_\varphi \vec{P}_t^a\omega = -a^2\vec{P}_t\omega$, $\mathrm{Ric}_\varphi(\sharp\vec{P}_t^a\omega, \sharp\vec{P}_t^a\omega) \geqslant -a^2|\vec{P}_t^a\omega|^2$ and, by Theorem 8 (iii'), the partial derivatives of $\beta_{\kappa_{s,l}}$ are nonnegative, in exponential local coordinates centered at x we have that

$$-\mathcal{L}'_\varphi b_{s,l}(x,t) \geqslant \sum_{i=1}^{n+1} H_{Q_{\kappa_{s,l}}}(\tilde{S}_x(v(x,t)); \tilde{S}_x(\nabla_i v(x,t)))\,.$$

Therefore, Theorem 8 (ii') and the identity $|S_x(\eta)|_{\mathbb{R}^n} = |\eta|_{T_x^*M}$, $\eta \in T_x^*M$, imply

$$-\mathcal{L}'_\varphi b_{s,l}(x,t) \geqslant 2\delta\sqrt{\sum_{i=1}^{n+1}|\nabla_i P_t^a f(x)|^2}\sqrt{\sum_{i=1}^{n+1}|\nabla_i\vec{P}_t^a\omega(x)|^2}\,,$$

which is the statement from the proposition. □

In order to estimate $-\mathcal{L}'_\varphi b_{s,l}$ from above we need a preliminary result.

Lemma 11. *Suppose that* $\mathrm{Ric}_\varphi \geqslant -a^2 g$. *Then, for every* $(x,t) \in K_{s,l}$,

$$b_{s,l}(x,t) \leqslant \frac{1+\delta}{2}(1+\varepsilon)^p\left(P_t^a|f|^p(x) + P_t^0|\omega|^q(x)\right)\,.$$

Moreover, there exists $C = C(\varepsilon,p)$ *such that, for every* $(x,t) \in K_{s,l}$,

$$|\partial_t b_{s,l}(x,t)| \leqslant C\left(\max\{(P_t^a|f|(x))^{p-1}, P_t^0|\omega|(x)\}\,|\partial_t P_t^a f(x)| + (P_t^0|\omega|(x))^{q-1}|\partial_t\vec{P}_t^a\omega(x)|\right)\,.$$

Proof. By combining Theorem 8 (i') with Lemma 2 (c) and (e), we get

$$b_{s,l}(x,t) \leqslant \frac{1+\delta}{2}\left[(P_t^a|f|(x)+\kappa_{s,l})^p + (P_t^0|\omega|(x)+\kappa_{s,l})^q\right].$$

The first part of the lemma now follows from the definition of $\kappa_{s,l}$ and Lemma 2 (c), (e).

Observe that

$$\begin{aligned}2|\partial_t b_{s,l}(x,t)| \leqslant{}& \partial_u\beta_{\kappa_{s,l}}(|P_t^a f(x)|,|\vec{P}_t^a\omega(x)|)|\partial_t P_t^a f(x)| \\ &+ \partial_v\beta_{\kappa_{s,l}}(|P_t^a f(x)|,|\vec{P}_t^a\omega(x)|)|\partial_t \vec{P}_t^a\omega(x)|.\end{aligned}$$

The second part of the lemma follows from the definition of $\kappa_{s,l}$, by combining the above inequality with Theorem 8 (iii') and Lemma 2 (c) and (e). □

Proposition 12. *Suppose that* $\mathrm{Ric}_\varphi \geqslant -a^2 g$. *Then*

$$\limsup_{s\to\infty}\limsup_{l\to\infty}\int_{1/s}^{s}\int_{B(o,l)} -\mathcal{L}'_\varphi b_{s,l}(x,t)\,\mathrm{d}\mu_\varphi(x)\,t\,\mathrm{d}t \leqslant \frac{1+\delta}{2}(1+\varepsilon)^p\left(\|f\|_p^p + \|\omega\|_q^q\right).$$

Proof. Recall that $o \in M$ was fixed at the beginning of this section. Set $r(x) = \rho(x,o)$, where ρ denotes the geodesic distance on M. Thus $B(o,\delta) = \{x \in M\,; r(x) < \delta\}$. Since $\mathrm{Ric}_\varphi \geqslant -a^2 g$, by [16, Theorem 3.1] (see also [13, Theorem 2.4] for the unweighted case) we have that

$$-\,r\mathcal{L}_\varphi r \leqslant Cr^2 \quad \text{for} \quad r \geqslant 1 \tag{7}$$

weakly, and for almost all $x \in M$. Take a nonincreasing function $\Lambda \in C_c^\infty([0,\infty))$ such that $0 \leqslant \Lambda \leqslant 1$, $\Lambda = 1$ in $[0,1]$ and $\Lambda = 0$ in $[2,\infty)$. For $l > 0$ and $x \in M$ define

$$F_l(x) = \Lambda\left(\frac{r(x)^2}{l^2}\right). \tag{8}$$

Observe that $(\operatorname{supp} F_l) \times [1/s,s] \subset K_{s,l}$. By Proposition 10, $-\mathcal{L}'_\varphi b_{s,l} \geqslant 0$, so that

$$\begin{aligned}\int_{1/s}^{s}\int_{B(o,l)} -\mathcal{L}'_\varphi b_{s,l}(x,t)\,\mathrm{d}\mu_\varphi(x)\,t\,\mathrm{d}t &\leqslant \int_{1/s}^{s}\int_{M} -\mathcal{L}'_\varphi b_{s,l}(x,t)F_l(x)\,\mathrm{d}\mu_\varphi(x)\,t\,\mathrm{d}t \\ &= \int_{1/s}^{s}\int_{M} (\partial_{tt}-\mathcal{L}_\varphi) b_{s,l}(x,t)F_l(x)\,\mathrm{d}\mu_\varphi(x)\,t\,\mathrm{d}t.\end{aligned}$$

Therefore, to complete the proof it suffices to show that

$$\limsup_{s\to\infty}\limsup_{l\to\infty}\int_{1/s}^{s}\int_{M} \partial_{tt} b_{s,l}(x,t)F_l(x)\,\mathrm{d}\mu_\varphi(x)\,t\,\mathrm{d}t \leqslant \frac{1+\delta}{2}(1+\varepsilon)^p(\|f\|_p^p + \|\omega\|_q^q) \tag{9}$$

and

$$\lim_{l\to\infty}\int_{1/s}^{s}\int_{M} \mathcal{L}_\varphi b_{s,l}(x,t)F_l(x)\,\mathrm{d}\mu_\varphi(x)\,t\,\mathrm{d}t = 0 \tag{10}$$

for all $s > 0$.

We first prove (9). An integration by parts in the variable t gives

$$\int_{1/s}^{s}\partial_{tt} b_{s,l}(x,t)t\,\mathrm{d}t = s\partial_t b_{s,l}(x,s) - s^{-1}\partial_t b_{s,l}(x,1/s) + b_{s,l}(x,1/s) - b_{s,l}(x,s).$$

Theorem 8 (i') and Lemma 11 imply, for all $(x,t) \in K_{s,l}$,

$$b_{s,l}(x,1/s) - b_{s,l}(x,s) \leqslant b_{s,l}(x,1/s) \leqslant \frac{1+\delta}{2}(1+\varepsilon)^p\left(P_{1/s}^a|f(x)|^p + P_{1/s}^0|\omega(x)|^q\right).$$

It follows that

$$\begin{aligned}&\int_{1/s}^{s}\int_M \partial^2_{tt} b_{s,l}(x,t) F_l(x)\,\mathrm{d}\mu_\varphi(x)\,t\,\mathrm{d}t\\ &\qquad\leqslant \frac{1+\delta}{2}\,(1+\varepsilon)^p\left(\|f\|_p^p+\|\omega\|_q^q\right)+\|s\partial_t b_{s,l}(x,s)+s^{-1}\partial_t b_{s,l}(x,1/s)\|_{L^1(\mu_\varphi)},\end{aligned}$$

where in the last inequality we used the fact that for every $r\in[1,\infty]$ the semigroup P_t^a is contractive on L^r. Therefore, in order to prove (9) it is enough to show that

$$\lim_{s\to\infty}\|s\partial_t b_{s,l}(x,s)+s^{-1}\partial_t b_{s,l}(x,1/s)\|_{L^1(\mu_\varphi)}=0\,. \tag{11}$$

Since the semigroup P_t^a is contractive in L^r for all $r\in[1,\infty]$, there exists $h=h(p)>2$ such that

$$\|(P_t^a|f|)^{p-1}+P_t^0|\omega|+(P_t^0|\omega|)^{q-1}\|_{L^h(\mu_\varphi)}\leqslant C(f,\omega,p)$$

uniformly in $t>0$. Hence, by Lemma 11 and Hölder's inequality, to prove (11) it suffices to show that

$$\lim_{t\to 0,\infty}\||t\partial_t P_t^a f|+|t\partial_t \vec{P}_t^a\omega|\|_{L^{h'}(\mu_\varphi)}=0\,, \tag{12}$$

where h' is the conjugate exponent of h. To prove (12), simply observe that by the spectral theorem $t\partial_t P_t^a f$ and $t\partial_t\vec{P}_t^a\omega$ converge to 0 in L^2 as $t\to 0,\infty$, and that $\|t\partial_t P_t^a\|_r+\|t\partial_t\vec{P}_t^a\|_r$ is uniformly bounded in t for all r in $(1,\infty)$ [8, Thm 4.6 (c)], because $\mathrm{Ric}_\varphi\geqslant -a^2 g$ implies that the semigroups P_t^a and $\vec{P}_t^a$ are both analytic on $L^r(\mu_\varphi)$, for all r in $(1,\infty)$.

We now prove (10). We first show that

$$\liminf_{l\to\infty}\int_{1/s}^{s}\int_M -\mathcal{L}_\varphi b_{s,l}(x,t)F_l(x)\,\mathrm{d}\mu_\varphi(x)\,t\,\mathrm{d}t\geqslant 0. \tag{13}$$

A simple computation based on (8) gives

$$-\mathcal{L}_\varphi F_l=-\frac{2r\mathcal{L}_\varphi r}{l^2}\Lambda'(r^2/l^2)+\frac{4r^2}{l^4}|\,\mathrm{d}r|^2\Lambda''(r^2/l^2).$$

Since $\|\,\mathrm{d}r\|_\infty\leqslant 1$, $\Lambda'\leqslant 0$, and $\Lambda'=0$ on $[0,1]\cup[2,\infty]$, by (7) there exists $C>0$ such that

$$-\mathcal{L}_\varphi F_l\geqslant -C\left(\|\Lambda'\|_\infty+\|\Lambda''\|_\infty\right)\chi_{B(o,2l)\setminus B(o,l)} \tag{14}$$

for all $l\geqslant 1$. Integrating by parts in (13), and combining (14) with Lemma 11, we obtain

$$\int_M -\mathcal{L}_\varphi b_{s,l}(x,t)F_l(x)\,\mathrm{d}\mu_\varphi(x)\geqslant -C\int_{B(o,2l)\setminus B(o,l)}(P_t^a|f|^p+P_t^0|\omega|^q)\,\mathrm{d}\mu_\varphi\,.$$

Denote the integral on the right hand side by $\Psi_l(t)$. Since $\lim_{l\to\infty}\Psi_l=0$ pointwise on $\mathbb{R}_+$ and $0\leqslant\Psi_l(t)\leqslant\|f\|_p^p+\|\omega\|_q^q$, the Lebesgue dominated convergence theorem implies (13).

It remains to prove that

$$\limsup_{l\to\infty}\int_{1/s}^{s}\int_M -\mathcal{L}_\varphi b_{s,l}(x,t)F_l(x)\,\mathrm{d}\mu_\varphi(x)\,t\,\mathrm{d}t\leqslant 0\,. \tag{15}$$

Consider the function $R = (1/2)(1+\delta)(1+\varepsilon)^p(P_t^a|f|^p + P_t^0|\omega|^q)$. By Lemma 11, $b_{s,l} - R \leqslant 0$ on $K_{s,l}$, and an argument similar to the one we used to prove (13) shows that

$$\limsup_{l\to\infty} \int_{1/s}^{s}\int_M -\mathcal{L}_\varphi(b_{s,l}(x,t) - R(x,t))F_l(x)\,\mathrm{d}\mu_\varphi(x)\,t\,\mathrm{d}t \leqslant 0.$$

We now prove that

$$\limsup_{l\to\infty} \int_{1/s}^{s}\int_M \mathcal{L}_\varphi R(x,t)F_l(x)\,\mathrm{d}\mu_\varphi(x)\,t\,\mathrm{d}t = 0. \tag{16}$$

Since $\|\,\mathrm{d}r\|_\infty \leqslant 1$ and $F_l = 0$ for $r \geqslant 2l$, we have that

$$\|\,\mathrm{d}F_l\|_\infty \leqslant \frac{4\|\Lambda'\|_\infty}{l}\,.$$

Therefore, by integrating by parts we get

$$\left|\int_{1/s}^{s}\int_M \mathcal{L}_\varphi R(x,t)F_l(x)\,\mathrm{d}\mu_\varphi(x)\,t\,\mathrm{d}t\right| \leqslant \frac{4\|\Lambda'\|_\infty}{l}\int_{1/s}^{s}\int_M |\,\mathrm{d}R(x,t)|\,\mathrm{d}\mu_\varphi(x)\,t\,\mathrm{d}t.$$

By Lemma 2,

$$\mathrm{d}R(x,t) = C(\,\mathrm{d}P_t^a|f|^p + \,\mathrm{d}P_t^0|\omega|^q) = C(\vec{P}_t^a\,\mathrm{d}|f|^p + \vec{P}_t^0\,\mathrm{d}|\omega|^q)\,,$$

where the right-hand side is in $L^1(M\times[1/s,s], \mathrm{d}\mu_\varphi\,t\,\mathrm{d}t)$ because f and ω are regular, compactly supported and $|\vec{P}_t^0\omega| \leqslant e^{ta^2}P_t^0|\omega|$. This implies (16) and concludes the proof of the proposition. □

Proof of Theorem 3. Suppose that $p \geqslant 2$. By combining Propositions 10 and 12, using the Fatou lemma and passing to the limit as $\varepsilon \to 0$, we get

$$2\delta\int_0^\infty\int_M |\overline{\nabla}P_t^a f||\overline{\nabla}\vec{P}_t^a\omega|\,\mathrm{d}\mu_\varphi\,t\,\mathrm{d}t \leqslant \frac{1+\delta}{2}\left(\|f\|_p^p + \|\omega\|_q^q\right).$$

Now apply the above inequality to λf and $\lambda^{-1}\omega$ instead of f,ω, respectively, and minimize in $\lambda > 0$. The result is

$$\int_0^\infty\int_M |\overline{\nabla}P_t^a f||\overline{\nabla}\vec{P}_t^a\omega|\,\mathrm{d}\mu_\varphi\,t\,\mathrm{d}t \leqslant C_q(p-1)\|f\|_p\|\omega\|_q\,,$$

where

$$C_q = \frac{8+q(q-1)}{4}\,(q-1)^{1/q-1}\,.$$

The substitution $s = q-1$ returns

$$\sup_{q\in(1,2]} C_q = \frac{1}{4}\sup_{s\in(0,1]}(s^2+s+8)s^{-\frac{s}{s+1}} < 2^{\cdot}8 < 3\,.$$

When $1 < p < 2$, interchange $P_t^a f$ and $\vec{P}_t^a\omega$ in the definition of $b_{\kappa_{s,l}}$ and proceed as before. □

Acknowledgements

A part of this work was conducted at Centro Di Ricerca Matematica Ennio De Giorgi, Pisa, during the intensive research period in Euclidean Harmonic Analysis, Nilpotent Lie Groups and PDEs 2010, and during the first author's visits to the Dipartimento di Matematica dell'Università degli Studi di Genova and to Faculty of Mathematics and Physics of the University of Ljubljana. The authors thank the faculty and staff of those institutions for their warm hospitality. The second author was partially supported by the Ministry of Higher Education, Science and Technology of Slovenia (research program Analysis and Geometry, contract no. P1-0291).

References

[1] D. Bakry: *Étude des transformations de Riesz dans les variétés riemanniennes a courbure de Ricci minorée*, Séminaire de probabilités de Strasbourg **21** (1987), no. 1, 137–172.

[2] D. Bakry: *Un critére de non-explosion pour certaines diffusions sur une variété Riemannienne compléte*, C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris, Sér. I **303** (1986) 23–26.

[3] M. Berger: *A panoramic view of Riemannian geometry*, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 2003.

[4] Z. Ciesielski, S. J. Taylor: *First passage times and sojourn times for Brownian motion in space and the exact Hausdorff measure of the sample path*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **103** (1962), no. 3, 434–450.

[5] O. Dragičević, A. Volberg: *Bellman functions and dimensionless estimates of Littlewood-Paley type*, J. Oper. Theory **56** (2006), no. 1, 167–198.

[6] O. Dragičević, A. Volberg: *Linear dimension-free estimates in the embedding theorem for Schrödinger operators*, accepted for publication by the London Mathematical Society.

[7] O. Dragičević, A. Volberg: *Bilinear embedding for real elliptic differential operators in divergence form with potentials*, submitted (see also arXiv).

[8] K.-J. Engel, R. Nagel: *One-parameter semigroups for linear evolution equations*, Graduate Texts in Mathematics, **194**, Springer-Verlag, New York, 2000.

[9] X.-D. Li: *Martingale transforms and L^p norm estimates of Riesz transforms on complete Riemannian manifolds*, Probab. Theory Relat. Fields **141** (2008), 247–281.

[10] X.-D. Li: *Riesz transforms on forms and L^p-Hodge decomposition on complete Riemannian manifolds*, preprint (2008), `http://www.math.univ-toulouse.fr/~xiang/Riesz07.pdf`

[11] F. Nazarov, S. Treil: *The Hunt for a Bellman function: applications to estimates of singular integral operators and to other classical problems in harmonic analysis*, St. Petersburg Math. J. **8** (1997), no. 5, 721–824.

[12] F. Nazarov, S. Treil, A. Volberg: *The Bellman functions and two-weight inequalities for Haar multipliers*, J. Amer. Math. Soc. **12** (1999), no. 4, 909–928.

[13] S. Pigola, M. Rigoli, A. G. Setti: *Vanishing and finiteness results in geometric analysis. A generalization of Bochner technique*, Progress in Mathematics **266**, Birkhäuser, 2008.

[14] R. Strichartz: *Analysis of the Laplacian on the complete Riemannian manifold*, J. Funct. Anal. **52** (1983), 48–79.

[15] V. Vasyunin, A. Volberg: *Bellster and others*, preprint (2008), pp. 1–15.

[16] G. Wei, W. Wylie: *Comparison geometry for the Bakry-Emery Ricci tensor*, J. Differential Geom. **83** (2009), no. 2, 377—405.

Andrea Carbonaro, University of Birmingham, School of Mathematics, Watson Building, Edgbaston, Birmingham B15 2TT, United Kingdom
E-mail address: `carbonaa@for.mat.bham.ac.uk`

Oliver Dragičević, University of Ljubljana, Faculty of Mathematics and Physics, Jadranska 19, SI-1000 Ljubljana, Slovenia
E-mail address: `oliver.dragicevic@fmf.uni-lj.si`